IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE DISTRICT OF MASSACHUSETTS

SCANSOFT, INC.,

Plaintiff

-VS-

) CA No. 04-10353-PBS) Pages 1 - 102

VOICE SIGNAL TECHNOLOGIES, INC., LAURENCE S. GILLICK, ROBERT S. ROTH,) JONATHAN P. YAMRON, and MANFRED G. GRABHERR,

RECEIVED

JUN 2 3 2005'

Defendants

BROMBERG & SUNSTEIN

MARKMAN HEARING

BEFORE THE HONORABLE PATTI B. SARIS UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE

APPEARANCES:

LEE C. BROMBERG, ESQ., ERIK PAUL BELT, ESQ., and LISA M. FLEMING, ESQ., Bromberg & Sunstein, 125 Summer Street, Boston, Massachusetts, 02110-1618, for the Plaintiff.

ROBERT S. FRANK, JR., ESQ., PAUL D. POPEO, ESQ., and SARAH CHAPIN COLUMBIA, ESQ., Choate, Hall & Stewart, 53 State Street, Boston, Massachusetts, 02109, for the Defendants.

> United States District Court 1 Courthouse Way, Courtroom 19 Boston, Massachusetts June 17, 2005, 2:10 p.m.

LEE A. MARZILLI CERTIFIED REALTIME REPORTER United States District Court 1 Courthouse Way, Room 3205 Boston, MA 02210 (617) 345-6787

PROCEEDINGS

THE CLERK: The case of ScanSoft, Incorporated V. Voice Signal Technologies, et al, Civil Action No. 04-10353, will now be heard before this Court. Will counsel please identify themselves for the record.

MR. BROMBERG: Lee Bromberg, your Honor, Bromberg & Sunstein, for the plaintiff ScanSoft.

MR. BELT: Erik Belt, Bromberg & Sunstein, also for ScanSoft.

MS. FLEMING: Lisa Fleming, Bromberg & Sunstein, for ScanSoft as well.

MR. FRANK: Robert Frank, Choate, Hall & Stewart, for the defendants.

MR. POPEO: Paul Popeo, Choate, Hall & Stewart, for the defendants.

MS. COLUMBIA: Sarah Columbia for the defendants.

THE COURT: Okay, so what do you think we're doing today? Let me start there.

MR. BROMBERG: I think we're having a Markman hearing, your Honor, on certain disputed terms in the '966 patent and in the '630 counterclaim patent.

THE COURT: Were you intending to introduce expert testimony?

MR. BROMBERG: Well, your Honor, I have

Mr. Balentine, my expert, in court to talk about the speech

either. He needs to be able to have someone interpret them.

MR. BROMBERG: You're absolutely right, your Honor.

MR. FRANK: If I may, your Honor.

THE COURT: Yes.

MR. FRANK: What we would propose is that it's fine with us if the two experts get together and select a neutral expert so that there's as little partisanship in that as possible. The second thing that we would be prepared to do is to have the plaintiffs provide whatever code they think was copied, may have been copied by our side. And the expert can look at the code that we have produced, and if that expert finds in there the, you know, copying or the use of the core idea and thinks it's genuinely a secret -- that is, that the idea in question is not generally known -- that seems to us to be a fair way to go forward. We're concerned about the misuse of this material, and we believe that there's substantial --

THE COURT: I understand. This is what I'm going to do. I think, if there's a concern about the partisan experts, pick a third name. Have the person -
Mr. Bromberg's firm will have to explain what the theory of the trade secrets are to him so that he'll know or she will know what to look for, and then at some point you can either sit down in a mutual meeting where the expert explains what he has or has not found with all of you sitting there. We